SAMPLE FORM K APPELLANT'S OPENING BRIEF

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, DIVISION ONE

THE THREE BEARS,	Court of Appeal No. D0	
Plaintiffs and Respondents,		
v.	(Super. Ct. No)	
GOLDILOCKS,		
Defendant and Appellant.		
Appeal From a Judgment [or Order] Of The Superior Court, County of Hon, Judge [Superior Court Judge]		
APPELLANT'S OPI	ENING BRIEF	
	Your name Your Address Your Phone Number During the Day	
	Appellant [or Respondent] Self-Represented	
If Appellant's Opening Brief, this cover page is green.	is and the second secon	

If Respondent's Brief, this cover page is yellow.

If Appellant's Reply Brief, this cover page is tan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	ii
STATEMENT OF THE CASE	1
STATEMENT OF APPEALABILITY	1
STATEMENT OF FACTS	1
ARGUMENT	
I. GOLDILOCKS WAS GIVEN IMPLIED CONSENT TO ENTER THE HOUSE AND THUS HER ENTRY WAS NOT "WRONGFUL"	2
A. The Standard of Review	2
B. Elements of the Action	2
C. No Evidence of Wrongful Entry	3
CONCLUSION	4
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	5

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

	Page
Gallin v. Poulou (1956) 140 Cal.App.2d 638.	3
Miller v. National Broadcasting Co. (1986) 187 Cal.App.3d 1463.	3
Williams v. General Elec. Credit Corp. (1946) 159 Cal.App.2d 527.	3
Williams v. Wraxall (1995) 33 Cal.App.4th 120.	
STATUTES (if any) OTHER	
Restatement 2nd of Torts, section 167.	3
5 Witkin, Summary of California Law (9th ed. 1988)	3

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

The Three Bears filed a complaint in August 2001 alleging Goldilocks had trespassed on their property by entering their home when they were not at home, consuming a meal and falling asleep in a bed. The complaint alleged that Baby Bear had suffered physical and mental damages as a result of being frightened upon discovering Goldilocks. (I CT 1-4.) After a civil trial on the matter over a period of two days, the court found that Goldilocks had committed trespass. (I CT 25.) The court entered a final judgment in favor of the Three Bears in the amount of \$50,000. (I CT 27.)

STATEMENT OF APPEALABILITY

This appeal is from the judgment of the San Diego County Superior Court and is authorized by the Code of Civil Procedure, section 904.1, subdivision (a)(1).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Papa Bear lives in San Diego, California with his wife, Mama Bear and son, Baby Bear. (I RT 1.) Appellant Goldilocks lives a few miles away on the other side of the forest. (I RT 25.) The Bears' neighbor, Gloria Gardner, watched what happened from her garden next door. (I RT 15.)

Gardner testified she saw the Bear family leave their house without shutting the front door about 8:00 a.m. and saw Goldilocks enter the house at about 8:30. At about 9:30 a.m. she heard screams and saw Goldilocks run from the Bears' house. (I RT 17.)

The Bears testified that when they returned from the walk, they saw they had left the front door open. (I RT 3.) Food was missing from the dining room table. (I RT 4.) Baby Bear found Goldilocks asleep in his bed. (I RT 6.) Terrified, Baby Bear screamed and woke up Goldilocks. (I RT 9.) Startled and confused, Goldilocks ran from the Bears' house. (I RT 30.)

An expert bear cub psychologist, Dr. Dramatic, who has done extensive research in the phobias of young bears, testified to the traumatic effects when a bear cub comes in contact with a human child. Baby Bear had physical symptoms of blackouts stemming from his encounter with Goldilocks as well as mental anguish requiring therapy. (I RT 21-24.)

Goldilocks testified she was looking for a boarding facility to take a rest, the Bears' house was very large, there was no fence to indicate this was private property, the door of the house was left open and there was a mat at the front door that said "WELCOME". (I RT 25-26.) She thought this was a commercial boarding establishment, as large amounts of food were set out as if for guests; she looked for someone to ask about spending the night and saw several sets of chairs and beds all in different sizes. (I RT 27-28.) She sat down on a bed and fell asleep. (I RT 29.)

ARGUMENT

I. GOLDILOCKS WAS GIVEN IMPLIED CONSENT TO ENTER THE HOUSE AND THUS HER ENTRY WAS NOT "WRONGFUL"

A. The Standard of Review. The trial court erred in finding that Goldilocks trespassed on the Bears' property as there is no substantial evidence to support that finding. On review, the appellate court looks to the record to see if there are facts to support the trial court or jury's findings. If there is any substantial evidence to support the verdict, the court will affirm. If there are conflicts in the facts, the court will resolve the conflict in favor of the party who won in the trial court. (*Williams v. Wraxall* (1995) 33 Cal.App.4th 120, 132.)

B. The Elements of the Action. A trespass occurs when a person intentionally, recklessly or negligently enters land in the possession of another. (*Gallin v. Poulou* (1956) 140 Cal.App.2d 638, 645.) The intent to enter is the only intent needed. (*Miller v. National*

Broadcasting Co. (1986) 187 Cal.App.3d 1463, 1480.) However, consent or permission to enter upon the property is a defense. (Williams v. General Elec. Credit Corp. (1946) 159 Cal.App.2d 527, 532; 5 Witkin, Summary of California Law (9th ed. 1988) Torts, § 607, p. 706; Rest.2d Torts, § 167.)

C. No Evidence of Wrongful Entry. Here, Goldilocks did not intend to enter on private property. She thought the Bears' house was a public, commercial boarding house. Although her actual intent is not a legal defense, her actual intent reinforces her argument that she had consent to enter the building. The door was open, the WELCOME mat was out, the food was on the table, and there were many beds and chairs about. All of this points to the conclusion the Bears were prepared for and awaiting the arrival of numerous persons and supports Goldilocks' belief this was a boarding house and there was no reason for her not to enter. At a minimum the house was prepared and open for an "open house". No evidence points to any indication the house was closed, off-limits to outsiders, or limited in the types of persons who would be admitted. There is no evidence to support a finding Goldilocks' entry was wrongful. The judgment must be reversed.

CONCLUSION

Goldilocks submits the Three Bears have failed to meet their burden of proving that her entry into their house was wrongful and, thus, a trespass. All of the evidence supports a finding that the Bears by their conduct consented to Goldilocks' entry. Goldilocks respectfully asks that this Court reverse the decision of the trial court and vacate the award of damages.

	Respectfully submitted,
DATED:	By(Signature)
	(Your name-printed or typed)

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to rule 14(c) of the California Rules of Court, I hereby certify that this bri	ef
contains words, including footnotes. In making this certification, I have relied	on the
word count of the computer program used to prepare the brief.	
By(Your Signature)	

An original Proof of Service must be attached to every original document filed with the court. A copy of the Proof of Service must be attached to every document served on all counsel and self-represented parties. (See Sample Form C.)